Hawking-Unruh phenomenon in the parton language

D. Kharzeev^a

Nuclear Theory Group, Brookhaven National Laboratory, 11973 Upton, NY, USA

Received: 2 December 2005 / Published online: 30 August 2006 – ⓒ Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2006

Abstract. Inelastic hadron interactions at high energies are accompanied by a pulse of a strong chromoelectric field. This field leads to the decay of QCD vacuum which proceeds through the emission of partons with a thermal spectrum. In a semi-classical treatment, the effective temperature of the spectrum is determined by the acceleration of partons in the classical chromo-electric field, in accord with the general arguments given by Hawking and Unruh.

PACS. 24.85.+p Quarks, gluons, and QCD in nuclei and nuclear processes – 25.75.Nq Quark deconfinement, quark-gluon plasma production, and phase transitions – 11.15.Kc Classical and semiclassical techniques – 12.38.Mh Quark-gluon plasma

1 Introduction

There is an old but unsolved puzzle in the data on hadron production in various processes, from e^+e^- annihilation and deep-inelastic scattering to heavy-ion collisions: the relative abundances of different hadron species appear to follow the statistical distribution with a surprising accuracy (for reviews, see, e.g., [1,2]). Moreover, at small transverse momenta the spectra of the produced hadrons also look approximately thermal. While in heavy-ion collisions it is possible to expect the emergence of statistical distributions as a result of intense re-interactions between the produced particles, this seems very implausible in $e^+e^$ annihilation at high energies \sqrt{s} , where the process of hadronization is stretched in space over a long distance $\sim \sqrt{s/2\mu^2}$ and the density of produced hadrons is small $(\mu \sim \Lambda_{QCD} \text{ is an infrared cut-off describing the hadroniza-}$ tion scale).

Statistical distributions may emerge as a result of the saddle point approximation to the multi-particle phase space, when the dynamics is inessential and the production mechanism is "phase space dominated" (see, for example, [3] and references therein). However, in e^+e^- annihilation this mechanism can hardly be expected to work: the jet structure, the angular distributions of the produced hadrons, and inter-jet correlations point to the all-important role of QCD dynamics of gluon radiation (for a recent review, see [4]), and thus the "phase space dominance" cannot be invoked. It thus looks natural to think that the emergence of statistical hadron abundances has something to do with the process of hadronization —in other words, with the way in which the QCD vacuum re-

sponds to the external color fields, as was advocated by Dokshitzer [4].

This attractive and deep idea however does not yet allow one to understand why the vacuum response to the external carriers of color field leads to the emergence of statistical distributions. To do this, we are forced to discuss the structure of the QCD vacuum. Little is known about it, but we do know that QCD vacuum is populated by the fluctuations of color fields, some of which are of semi-classical nature [5]. It may therefore be useful to examine what is known at present about the interactions of charged quanta with background classical fields.

This logic will first lead us to the discussion of quantum fluctuations in the background of the gravitational field of a black hole, where the quantum radiation appears to be thermal as shown by Hawking [6]. It was demonstrated by Unruh [7] that the Hawking phenomenon should be present in any non-inertial frame; indeed, this is required by Einstein's equivalence principle. Then we will proceed to the process of electron-positron pair production in the background electric field, analyzed in QED by Schwinger [8]. We will see that the Schwinger formula for the rate of e^+e^- pair production in a constant electric field allows for a simple statistical interpretation; moreover, for the case of a time-dependent field pulse, the spectra of the produced particles become thermal.

These two examples inspire the picture in which the thermal character of hadron production emerges from the interactions with the vacuum chromo-electric field, the strength of which in the color flux model is parameterized in terms of the string tension, or the slope of Regge trajectories b. Indeed, we will see how the well-known for-

^a e-mail: kharzeev@bnl.gov

mula for the Hagedorn temperature

$$T_{Hagedorn} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \tag{1}$$

can be derived in this way.

What happens if we create semi-classical color fields of strength exceeding the strength of the vacuum fields? This can be achieved in the collisions of heavy ions at high energies, which are accompanied by a short pulse of the chromo-electric field $E \sim Q_s^2/g$ of duration $\tau \sim Q_s^{-1}$; here Q_s is the saturation scale Q_s in the color glass condensate (for a recent review, see [9]), and g is the strong coupling. I will argue that such a strong color field induces the creation of partons with a distribution which is isotropic and thermal in a co-moving local frame, with an effective temperature $T_{in} \simeq Q_s/2\pi$. For high enough energies and heavy enough nuclei, the value of T_{in} exceeds the Hagedorn temperature; the produced thermal matter is thus in the deconfined phase. The phase transition in this case can also be understood in a geometrical picture, in which the acceleration $a \simeq Q_s$ in the chromo-electric field determines the curvature of space in the non-inertial Rindler frame. This is mathematically analogous to the phase transition induced by the presence of a massive black hole. This talk is based on the paper with Kirill Tuchin [10], to which I refer for details and references.

2 Quantum fluctuations in the classical background

2.1 Black-hole evaporation

In 1974 Hawking [6] demonstrated that black holes evaporate by quantum pair production, and behave as if they have an effective temperature of

$$T_H = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi},\tag{2}$$

where $\kappa = 4M$ is the acceleration of gravity at the surface of a black hole of mass M. The thermal character of the black-hole radiation stems from the presence of the event horizon, which hides the interior of the black hole from an outside observer. The rate of pair production in the gravitational background of a black hole can be evaluated by considering the tunneling through the event horizon. Parikh and Wilczek [11] showed that the imaginary part of the action for this classically forbidden process corresponds to the exponent of the Boltzmann factor describing the thermal emission¹.

Unruh [7] has found that a similar effect arises in a uniformly accelerated frame, where an observer detects an apparent thermal radiation with the temperature

$$T_U = \frac{a}{2\pi};\tag{3}$$

(a is the acceleration). The event horizon in this case emerges due to the existence of causally disconnected regions of space-time, conveniently described by using the Rindler coordinates.

2.2 Pair production in a constant electric field

The effects associated with a heat bath of temperature (3) usually are not easy to detect because of the smallness of the acceleration a in realistic experimental conditions. For example, for the acceleration of gravity on the surface of Earth $g \simeq 9.8 \text{ m s}^{-2}$ the corresponding temperature is only $T \simeq 4 \times 10^{-20}$ K. (The wavelength of the thermal radiation in this case is about 1 parsec —so it would have to be detected on a cosmic scale!)

Much larger accelerations can be achieved in electromagnetic fields, and Bell and Leinaas [12] considered the possible manifestations of the Hawking-Unruh effect in particle accelerators. They argued that the presence of an apparent heat bath can cause beam depolarization. Indeed, if the energies of spin-up E_{\uparrow} and spin-down E_{\downarrow} states of a particle in the magnetic field of an accelerator differ by $\Delta E = E_{\uparrow} - E_{\downarrow}$, the Hawking-Unruh effect would lead to the thermal ratio of the occupation probabilities

$$\frac{N_{\uparrow}}{N_{\downarrow}} \simeq \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E}{T_U}\right),\tag{4}$$

where the Unruh temperature (3) is determined by the particle acceleration. According to (4), a pure polarization state of a particle in the accelerator is inevitably diluted by the acceleration.

If the energy spectrum of an accelerated observer is continuous, as is the case for a particle of mass m with a transverse (with respect to the direction of acceleration) momentum p_T , a straightforward extension of (4) leads to a thermal distribution in the "transverse mass" $m_T = \sqrt{m^2 + p_T^2}$:

$$W_m(p_T) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{m_T}{T_U}\right).$$
 (5)

An important example is provided by the dynamics of charged particles in external electric fields. Consider a particle of mass m and charge e in an external electric field of strength E. Under the influence of the Lorentz force, it moves with an acceleration a = eE/m, and the corresponding temperature is $T_U = a/2\pi$. The Boltzman factor $\exp(-m/T_U)$ entering the particle creation rate in this case is

$$W_m^E \sim \exp\left(-\frac{2\ \pi m^2}{eE}\right).$$
 (6)

This expression looks familiar —in fact, (6) differs from the classic Schwinger result for the rate of particle production in a constant electric field only by a factor of two in the exponent.

Is this a coincidence? To answer this question, let us have a closer look at the corresponding action:

$$S = \int \left(-m \mathrm{d}s - e \,\varphi \,\mathrm{d}t \right), \tag{7}$$

¹ Conservation laws also imply a non-thermal correction to the emission rate [11], possibly causing a leakage of information from the black hole.

where φ is the electric potential, which for a constant electric field aligned along the *x*-axis is $\varphi = -Ex$ modulo an additive constant; the invariant interval is given by $ds^2 = (1 - v^2(t)) dt^2$. Using the equations of motion, we can evaluate the action to find

$$S(\tau) = \int^{\tau} dt \left(-m\sqrt{1-v(t)^2} + e E x(t)\right)$$
$$= -\frac{m}{a} \operatorname{arcsinh}(a\tau) + \frac{eE}{2a^2}$$
$$\times \left(a\tau(\sqrt{1+a^2\tau^2}-2) + \operatorname{arcsinh}(a\tau)\right). \tag{8}$$

In classical mechanics the equations of motion completely specify the trajectory of a uniformly accelerating particle moving under the influence of a constant force $\vec{F} = -e\nabla\varphi$. In contrast, in quantum theory the particle has a finite probability to be found under the potential barrier V(x) = eEx in the classically forbidden region. Mathematically, it comes about since the action (8) being an analytic function of τ has an imaginary part in the Euclidean space

Im
$$S(\tau) = \frac{m\pi}{a} - \frac{e E \pi}{2 a^2} = \frac{\pi m^2}{2 e E}$$
. (9)

The imaginary part of the action (8) corresponds to the motion of a particle in Euclidean space along the trajectory

$$x(t_E) = a^{-1} \left(\sqrt{1 - a^2 t_E^2} - 1 \right).$$
 (10)

Note that unlike in Minkowski space the Euclidean trajectory is bouncing between the two identical points $x_a = -a^{-1}$ at $t_{E,a} = -a^{-1}$ and $x_b = -a^{-1}$ at $t_{E,b} = a^{-1}$, and the turning point $x_a = 0$ at $t_{E,a} = 0$. Using (8) we can find the Euclidean action between the points a and b; it is given by $S_E(x(t_E)) = \text{Im}S = \pi m^2/2eE$.

It is well known that a quasi-classical exponent describing the decay of a metastable state is given by the Euclidean action of the bouncing solution (11). The rate of tunneling under the potential barrier in the quasi-classical approximation is thus given by

$$W_m^E \sim \exp(-2\,\mathrm{Im}S) = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi\,m^2}{e\,E}\right).$$
 (11)

Equation (11) gives the probability to produce a particle and its antiparticle (each of mass m) out of the vacuum by a constant electric field E; note that the incorrect factor of 2 in the exponent of (6) has now disappeared due to the contribution of the field term in the action (7).

The ratio of the probabilities to produce states of masses M and m is then

$$\frac{W_M^E}{W_m^E} = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi \left(M^2 - m^2\right)}{e E}\right). \tag{12}$$

The relation (12) allows a dual interpretation in terms of both Unruh and Schwinger effects (see, *e.g.*, [13–15,10] and references therein). First, consider a detector with quantum levels m and M moving in a constant electric field. Each level is accelerated differently, however if the splitting is not large, $M - m \ll m$ we can introduce the average acceleration of the detector

$$\bar{a} = \frac{2 e E}{M + m}.$$
(13)

Substituting (13) into (12) we arrive at

$$\frac{W_M^E}{W_m} = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\left(M - m\right)}{\bar{a}}\right). \tag{14}$$

This expression is reminiscent of the Boltzmann weight in a heat bath with an effective temperature (3): $T = \bar{a}/2\pi$. It implies that the detector is effectively immersed in a photon heat bath at temperature $T \approx eE/\pi m$. This is the renown Unruh effect [7].

It is important to remember that for a constant electric field the momentum distribution of the produced charged particles allows a statistical interpretation only in the transverse to the field direction. However for a short pulse of an electric field of duration $\tau \leq m/(eE)$ the distribution becomes thermal in all three directions (see [10] and references therein) —physically, this happens because the field has not enough time to perform work on curving the momenta of the produced particles.

Let us now discuss (12), (11) from the viewpoint of a field-theoretical derivation done by Schwinger [8]. It is clear that (11) cannot be expanded neither in powers of the coupling e, nor in powers of the field E, and so cannot be reproduced in any finite order of perturbation theory. Schwinger considered the one-loop QED action describing the electron-positron fluctuations in the background of the external electric field E. He has found that the series in the number of external field insertions indeed diverges (is not Borel summable). As a result the action ceases to be real, and develops an imaginary part, similarly to the simple semi-classical example considered above.

The Hawking-Unruh interpretation therefore appears to capture an essentially non-perturbative dynamics. Indeed, a more rigorous treatment (for a review, see, e.g., [16]) allows to establish that the Bogoliubov transformations relating the particle creation and annihilation operators in Minkowski and Rindler spaces describe a rearrangement of the vacuum structure which cannot be captured by perturbative series.

3 Event horizon and thermalization in high-energy hadronic interactions

3.1 Unruh effect, Hagedorn temperature, and parton saturation

We are now ready to address the case of hadronic interactions at high energies, which is the main subject of this talk. Consider a high-energy hadron of mass m and momentum P which interacts with an external field (*e.g.*, another hadron) and transforms into a hadronic final state of invariant mass $M \gg m$. This transformation is accompanied by a change in the longitudinal momentum

$$q_L = \sqrt{E^2 - m^2} - \sqrt{E^2 - M^2} \simeq \frac{M^2 - m^2}{2P},$$
 (15)

and therefore by a deceleration; we assumed that the particle m is relativistic, with energy $E \simeq p$.

The probability for a transition to a state with an invariant mass M is given by

$$P(M \leftarrow m) = 2\pi |\mathcal{T}(M \leftarrow m)|^2 \ \rho(M), \qquad (16)$$

where $\mathcal{T}(M \leftarrow m)$ is the transition amplitude, and $\rho(M)$ is the density of hadronic final states. According to the results of the previous section, we expect that under the influence of deceleration *a* which accompanies the change of momentum (15), the probability $|\mathcal{T}|^2$ will be determined by the Unruh effect and will be given by

$$|\mathcal{T}(M \leftarrow m)|^2 \sim \exp(-2\pi M/a) \tag{17}$$

in the absence of any dynamical correlations; we assume $M \gg m$.

To evaluate the density of states $\rho(M)$, let us first use the dual resonance model (see, *e.g.*, [17,18]), in which

$$\rho(M) \sim \exp\left(\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{b} M\right),$$
(18)

where b is the universal slope of the Regge trajectories, related to the string tension σ by the relation $\sigma = 1/(2\pi b)$.

The unitarity dictates that the sum of the probabilities (16) over all finite states M should be finite. Therefore, by converting the sum into integral over M one can see that the eqs. (17) and (18) impose the following bound on the value of acceleration a:

$$\frac{a}{2\pi} \equiv T \le \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4\pi} \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \equiv T_{Hagedorn}.$$
 (19)

The quantity on the r.h.s. of (19) is known as the Hagedorn temperature [19] —the "limiting temperature of hadronic matter" derived traditionally from hadron thermodynamics. In our case it stems from the existence of a "limiting acceleration" a_0 :

$$a_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ b^{-1/2}.$$
 (20)

The meaning of the "limiting temperature" in hadron thermodynamics is well known: above it, hadronic matter undergoes a phase transition into the deconfined phase, in which the quarks and gluons become the dynamical degrees of freedom. To establish the meaning of the limiting acceleration (20), let us consider a dissociation of the incident hadron into a large number $n \gg 1$ of partons. In this case the phase space density (18) can be evaluated by the saddle point method ("statistical approximation"), with the result (see, *e.g.*, [20])

$$\rho(M) \sim \exp(\beta M),\tag{21}$$

where β^{-1} is determined by a typical parton momentum in the center-of-mass frame of the partonic configuration. When interpreted in partonic language, eq. (18) thus implies a constant value of mean transverse momentum $\bar{p}_T \sim \beta^{-1} \sim b^{-1/2}$. On the other hand, in the parton saturation picture, the mean transverse momentum has to be associated with the "saturation scale" Q_s determined by the density of partons in the transverse plane within the wave function of the incident hadron (or a nucleus). This leads to the phase space density $\rho(M) \sim \exp(M/Q_s)$. The unitarity condition and the formulae (17), (16) thus lead us to the acceleration $a = Q_s$, which can exceed (20), and to the conclusion that the final partonic states are described by a thermal distribution with the temperature

$$T = \frac{Q_s}{2\pi}.$$
 (22)

The same result can be obtained by considering the acceleration a = gE/m of a parton with off-shellness $m \equiv \sqrt{p^2} \simeq Q_s$ in an external color field $gE \simeq Q_s^2$. It is interesting to note that to exceed the limiting acceleration (20), and thus the limiting Hagedorn temperature (19) for the produced hadronic matter, one has to build up strong color fields, exceeding $gE_0 \sim 1/b$. This is achieved by parton saturation in the color glass condensate, when $gE \simeq Q_s^2 > gE_0$ at sufficiently high energies and/or large mass numbers of the colliding nuclei. Parton saturation in the initial wave functions thus seems to be a necessary pre-requisite for the emergence of a thermal deconfined partonic matter in the final state.

The thermal distribution is built over the time period of π

$$t_{therm} \sim T^{-1} = \frac{\pi}{Q_s}.$$
 (23)

As discussed above, this apparent thermalization originates from the presence of the event horizon in an accelerating frame: the incident hadron decelerates in an external color field, which causes the emergence of the causal horizon. Quantum tunneling through this event horizon then produces a thermal final state of partons, in complete analogy with the thermal character of quantum radiation from black holes.

3.2 Production of partons in the classical field as a Hawking-Unruh phenomenon

The picture presented above at first glance looks completely orthogonal to the conventional parton model. Indeed, in parton model the Weizsäcker-Williams gluon fields surrounding the valence quarks are transverse, with $\vec{E} \perp \vec{H} \perp \vec{p}$ (\vec{p} is the momentum of the quark). The corresponding "equivalent gluons" are almost on mass shell, and no longitudinal chromo-electric field is present: the gluon field tensor is flat in the longitudinal direction: $F_{+-} = 0$ ("+" and "-" refer to the light-cone components).

However, a more careful analysis reveals that this picture is not complete: the configuration of the produced gluon field is characterized by $F_{+-} \neq 0$, with a substantial longitudinal chromo-electric field E_z . In the color glass condensate picture, the strength of the field is $E_z \sim Q_s^2/g$, and the duration of the pulse is $\sim Q_s^{-1}$. Note that in the conventional string model picture, the produced chromo-electric field is purely longitudinal, with the strength proportional to the string tension. This exhibits a possible continuity between the string and parton approaches to multi-particle production, and suggests the existence of the minimal allowed value of the saturation momentum $Q_{s\ min}$. Basing on the arguments given above and in (19), one is led to the conclusion that

$$Q_{s\ min} = 2\pi T_{Hagedorn} \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}.$$
 (24)

A quantitative analysis of parton production in the longitudinal chromo-electric field performed in the framework of the color glass condensate approach is underway [21].

4 Summary

In this talk I have argued that the statistical features of multi-particle production may emerge as a consequence of the Hawking-Unruh effect. The acceleration, and the emergence of the corresponding event horizon for partons, is caused by the pulse of chromo-electric field which accompanies inelastic interactions at high energies. For hadron interactions at moderate energies, the effective temperature appears equal to the Hagedorn temperature (19). Once the strength of the chromo-electric field $E \sim Q_s^2/g$ exceeds a critical value determined by (24), the partons are produced with an effective temperature $T > T_{Hagedorn}$, *i.e.* in a deconfined state. The subsequent evolution of the produced partonic system has to be taken into account in this case.

I wish to thank the Organizers of this Workshop for a most stimulating and pleasant meeting. I am grateful to K. Tuchin for sharing the fun of thinking about the problem discussed here, and to T. Csörgo, G. Dunne, R. Glauber, E. Levin, L. McLerran, G. Nayak and R. Venugopalan for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886.

References

- F. Becattini, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 5, 175 (2005) [arXiv:hepph/0410403].
- P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, arXiv:nuclth/0304013.
- 3. W. Deinet, D.H. Rischke, arXiv:nucl-th/0507076.
- Y.L. Dokshitzer, Acta Phys. Pol. B 36, 361 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0411227]; Nucl. Phys. A 755, 229 (2005).
- A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.S. Shvarts, Y.S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. B 59, 85 (1975).
- 6. S.W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975).
- 7. W.G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 14, 870 (1976).
- 8. J.S. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82, 664 (1951).
- 9. K. Itakura, arXiv:hep-ph/0511031.
- D. Kharzeev, K. Tuchin, Nucl. Phys. A **753**, 316 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0501234].
- M.K. Parikh, F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 5042 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9907001].
- J.S. Bell, J.M. Leinaas, Nucl. Phys. B 212, 131 (1983);
 284, 488 (1987).
- R. Parentani, S. Massar, Phys. Rev. D 55, 3603 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9603057].
- C. Gabriel, P. Spindel, Annals Phys. 284, 263 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/9912016].
- N.B. Narozhny, V.D. Mur, A.M. Fedotov, Phys. Lett. A 315, 169 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0304010].
- N.D. Birrell, P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, 1982) p. 340.
- V. De Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, C. Rosetti, *Currents in Hadron Physics* (North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London, 1973).
- 18. H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B 44, 373 (1973).
- 19. R. Hagedorn, Nuovo Cimento Suppl. 3, 147 (1965).
- E. Byckling, K. Kajantie, *Particle Kinematics* (John Wiley and Sons, London-New York-Sydney-Toronto, 1973).
- 21. D. Kharzeev, E. Levin, K. Tuchin, arXiv:hep-ph/0602063.